

1 WO  
2  
3  
4

5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7  
8 United States of America, } No. 08-0063-M  
9 Plaintiff, }  
10 vs. }  
11 Jose Alfredo Rios-Quidera, }  
12 Defendant. }  
13 \_\_\_\_\_ }

**ORDER**

14 Having considered the Motion of the Defendant to allow the Government additional time  
15 under the Speedy Trial Act to file an indictment, together with the Government's Response, the  
16 Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the extension outweigh the best interest  
17 of the public and the Defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

18 In making this finding, the Court has considered each of the factors specified in 18  
19 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B). In addition, the Court has considered the following:

- 20 1. Counsel has only recently been appointed;
- 21 2. The defendant wishes to consider the plea offer extended by the government;
- 22 3. The defendant wishes to investigate possible defenses prior to considering the  
23 government's plea offer;
- 24 4. The government's plea offer, if accepted by the defendant and then the court, would  
25 likely reduce defendant's exposure to a significant term of imprisonment;
- 26 5. If the defendant does not timely accept the plea offer prior to indictment, the  
27 government will withdraw said plea offer and any subsequent plea offer after indictment would  
28 likely be less advantageous to the defendant;

1       6. Failure to extend time for indictment in this instance would thus operate to bar  
2 defendant from reviewing the government's plea offer in a meaningful way prior to indictment;  
3 and

4       7. The ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public  
5 and the defendant in a speedy indictment.

6       The Court therefore concludes that the ends of justice are best served by granting an  
7 extension of time to present the case to the grand jury and in excluding a period of thirty (30)  
8 days under the Speedy Trial Act. In making this determination, the Court has particularly taken  
9 into account that the failure to grant the Defendant's request "would deny counsel for the  
10 defendant. . .the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the  
11 exercise of due diligence." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

12       **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Extend Time to Indict (Doc. 2), requesting  
13 an extension of thirty (30) days within which the government may seek to indict defendant, is  
14 hereby granted.

15       **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that excludable time shall begin to run on the 31st day  
16 after arrest for a period of thirty (30) days in which the Government may present the case to the  
17 grand jury.

18       DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008.

19  
20         
21

22       Michelle H. Burns  
23       United States Magistrate Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28